





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DA	TE FIRST NAMED INVENTO	R ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/729,118 12/04/20	00 James Norman Cawse	RD-27,953	6825		
7590 05	/21/2002				
General Electric Company		EXAM	EXAMINER		
CRD Patent Docket Rm 4A59 P.O. Box 8, Bldg. K-1 - Sala		EPPERSO	EPPERSON, JON D		
Schenectady, NY 12301		ARTIBUT	PAPER NUMBER		
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		1627			
		DATE MAILED: 05/21/2002	3		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
'		09/729,118	CAWSE, JAMES	CAWSE, JAMES NORMAN		
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit			
	File Copy	Jon D Epperson	1627			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cov r sh t with the c rr spond nc address					
	Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 L	December 2000 .				
i	•	is action is non-final.				
2a)☐	,		I matters incosecution as to t	he merits is		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers						
9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) 🔲 Not	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper N ice of Informal Patent Application (P er:			
LLS Patent and						

Art Unit: 1627

# **DETAILED ACTION**

Please Note: In an effort to enhance communication with our customers and reduce processing time, Group 1627 is running a Fax Response Pilot for Written Restriction Requirements. A dedicated Fax machine is in place to receive your responses. The fax number is 703-308-4315. A fax cover sheet is attached to this Office Action for your convenience. We encourage your participation in this Pilot program. If you have any questions or suggestions please contact Jyothsna Venkat, Supervisory Patent Examiner, at (703) 308-2439. Thank you in advance for allowing us to enhance our customer service. Please limit the use of this dedicated Fax number to responses to Written Restrictions.

#### Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-16 drawn to a product described as a "reactor plate comprising a substrate with an array of reaction cells" with at least one cell having "a permeable film covering." The invention is classified variously, for example, in class 435, DIG 22, 29, 30, 34.
  - II. Claims 17-36 drawn to a method for "conducting a combinatorial high throughput screening (CHTS) method with the reactor plate." The invention is classified variously, for example, in class 435, DIG 2, 3, 10, 14.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 3. Groups I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product

Art Unit: 1627

as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP

§ 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the process for using the product as claimed could be practiced

Page 3

with another materially different product, for example, a plate containing cells with inlet and

outlet ports that permit the transport of a reactant gas into at least one of those cells.

These inventions have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different 4.

classification and/or divergent subject matter. The different methods and products would require

completely different searches in both the patent and non-patent databases, and there is no

expectation that the searches would be coextensive. Therefore, this does create an undue search

burden, and restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of

the claimed inventions as set forth below.

6. If applicant elects the invention of Group I applicant is required to elect from the

following patentably distinct species. Note: applicant must elect one species from each

subgroup below. Claims 1, 5-7, 13, 14 and 16 are generic.

Subgroup 1: Species of film polymer

A. polycarbonate

B. Perfluoroethylene

C. Polyamide

D. Polyester

E. Polypropylene

F. Polyethylene

G. PET

Application/Control Number: 09/729,118 Page 4

Art Unit: 1627

# Subgroup 2: Species of film type

- A. Monofilm
- B. Coextrusion
- C. Composite
- D. Laminate
- 7. If applicant elects the invention of Group II applicant is required to elect from the following patentably distinct species. Note: applicant must elect *one* species from *each* subgroup below. Claim 17, 19, 20, and 24-26 are generic.

## Subgroup 3: Species of combinatorial high throughput screening (CHTS) methods

- A. CHTS method described in claim 18
- B. CHTS method described in claim 21
- C. CHTS method described in claim 22
- D. CHTS method described in claim 23
- E. CHTS method described in claim 27
- F. CHTS method described in claim 28
- G. CHTS method described in claim 29
- H. The methods described in claims 35-36

### Subgroup 4: If species G is elected above, a further election from below is required

- A. Group VIII B metal catalysts (claim 30)
- B. Catalyst system comprising palladium (claim 31)
- C. Catalyst system comprising a halide composition (claim 32)
- D. Catalyst system comprising an inorganic co-catalyst (claim 33)
- E. Catalyst system comprising a combination of inorganic co-catalysts (claim 34)

Note: In addition to the general class of catalysts listed above in subgroup 4, a *specific* catalyst must also be elected for the purposes of a search. Furthermore, all atoms and bonds of the catalyst must be shown.

8. The species are distinct, each from the other, because their structures and modes of action are different. They would also differ in their reactivity and the starting materials from which they are made. For different species of method, the method steps for each species would differ.

Art Unit: 1627

Moreover, the above species can be separately classified. Therefore, the species have different issues regarding patentability and represent patentably distinct subject matter.

- 9. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.
- 10. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 11. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 12. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Art Unit: 1627

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 13. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143). Because the above restriction/election requirement is complex, a telephone call to applicants to request an oral election was not made. See MPEP § 812.01.
- 14. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 15. Applicant is also reminded that a 1 - month (not less than 30 days) shortened statutory period will be set for response when a written requirement is made without an action on the merits. This period may be extended under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). Such action will not be an "action on the merits" for purposes of the second action final program, see MPEP 809.02(a).

Art Unit: 1627

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jon D. Epperson, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-2423. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m..

17. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jyothsna Venkat, can be reached on (703) 308-2439. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4242. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Jon D. Epperson, Ph.D. 5/6/02

WOLDGY CENTER 1600

Page 7